





## Darwin Initiative/Darwin Plus Projects Half Year Report

(due 31st October 2021)

| Project reference                  | DPLUS084  |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Project title                      | Identifying and conserving resilient habitats in the British Virgin Islands   |
| Country(ies)/territory(ies)        | British Virgin Islands  |
| Lead organisation                  | Royal Botanic Gardens Kew   |
| Partner(s)                         | National Parks Trust of the Virgin Islands, Fort Worth Zoo  |
| Project leader                     | Thomas Heller   |
| Report date and number (e.g. HYR1) | HYR3, October 2021  |
| Project website/blog/social media  | https://www.kew.org/science/our-science/projects/resilient-habitats-bvis; Twitter @KewUKOTs #kewbvi; Facebook https://www.facebook.com/NPTVI/ |

1. Outline progress over the last 6 months (April – Sept) against the agreed project implementation timetable (if your project has started less than 6 months ago, please report on the period since start up to end September).

Over the last 6 months we have focussed on getting the project back on track after the enforced break in activities due to the Covid-19 pandemic. The overall situation in BVI has improved enabling field work to restart. Particular progress has been made on the following outputs:

Output 1.1. The team at NPTVI has undertaken several visits to the field, including Virgin Gorda, Fallen Jerusalem, Great Tobago and Tortola, to survey and monitor populations of threatened plants. In addition to dedicated project fieldwork, a recent research visit by cactus researchers Andrew Gdaniec and Paul Hoxey presented an opportunity for complementary activities contributing to Outputs 3.2 and 4.2 (below).

Output 1.2. Significant progress has been made on undertaking genetic analyses of *Zanthoxylum* at RBG Kew. We have optimised a DNA isolation protocol for leaf samples of *Zanthoxylum* and DNA was extracted from all 590 samples collected to date and DNA concentration was quantified. The preparation of genomic libraries for target enrichment has begun, with the protocol optimised for this material.

Output 1.3. Data gathered in the field has been added to the project GIS

Output 3.2. Two new *ex-situ* collections have been made of the Endangered cactus *Leptocereus quadricostatus*, with cuttings made from plants at two populations on Anegada added to the accessions at the JR O'Neal Botanical Gardens on Tortola.

Output 4.1. A review of delivery of Capacity Building for the project has been made, taking into account significant staff turnover of the project team, along with the impact of Covid-19 on inperson delivery of practical training. An increased role for online training, as well as peer-to-peer training among the team in BVI has been agreed, with RBG Kew's MS Teams education platform identified as best suited for online training for this project.

Output 4.2. The opportunity for peer-to-peer training for the project has been seized, with new members of staff at NPTVI being trained in plant identification and *ex-situ* conservation by experienced colleagues within the organisation.

Output 5.2. NPTVI has circulated regular fieldwork reports with updates on activities towards Outputs 1.1 and 3.2.

Output 5.3. Regular Steering Group meetings have continued. Adapting to continued Covid-19 disruption has been an important topic of discussion.

2a. Give details of any notable problems or unexpected developments/lessons learnt that the project has encountered over the last 6 months (for COVID-19 specific delays/problems, please use 2b). Explain what impact these could have on the project and whether the changes will affect the budget and timetable of project activities.

As noted in AR2, staff turnover has been the biggest development unrelated to Covid-19 that the project team has had to adapt to in the delivery of this project.

The Project Leader, Dr Martin Hamilton, left employment at RBG Kew, with Thomas Heller taking his place in this role, and Dr Juan Viruel joining him as Co-PI. While this has an impact of distribution of workload among the team, we do not anticipate that this will have an impact on the budget and timetable of project activities.

At NPTVI, Natasha Harrigan and Creightanya Brewley left the organisation, with new recruits Chenae Walters, Chane Smith and Glendon Gregg joining the project team. Likewise, we don't anticipate this will have a significant impact on the budget and timetable of activities. However, the change in staffing and retention of skills does have implications for delivery of capacity building, compounded by the impact of Covid-19 on the project. However, the project is responding to the opportunity presented by online teaching platforms, with adopting Kew's Microsoft Teams education platform enabling training resources to be uploaded and made more accessible, both to project partners and potentially other UKOT partners in the future. Likewise, it enables training to be delivered remotely, as well as in person, and forms a valuable library of resources for NPTVI staff and potentially can be shared with other UKOT

| focus on some of the skills lost from the team, such   | er-to-peer training within NPTVI, as well as a charach a plant identification and propagation.  |
|--|---|
| 2b. Please outline any specific issues which y COVID-19. Where you have adapted your projectase briefly outline how you have done so he may be on your project and whether the change project activities.  | ect activities in response to the pandemic,<br>ere. Explain what residual impact there  |
| The impact of Covid-19 has continued to be main international partners to participate in fieldwork armonths of this year of the project. While fieldwork resume in November this year, it will not be possifieldwork within this financial year alone, which we begin with.  | nd in-person capacity building for the first six<br>involving Kew and FWZ is in planning to<br>ble to make up for this delay by rescheduling  |
| Nevertheless, we have been able to adapt by NP independently, rather than focused during joint fie ensured good progress towards Outputs 1.1, 3.2 data to collect in activities such as the Rapid Bota work together in the field, and as such fieldwork (redistributed across the final 18 months of the progress).   | eldwork involving all project partners. This has and 4.2 in particular. However, the volume of anical Surveys, require the full field team to and associated budget) needs to be  |
| We are confident that the project is still very much is contingent on agreement from DEFRA to transcurrent Y3 of the project into the revised Y4. The and will become clearer as we finalise the plans festimate that a carry-forward of approx. will be submitted as soon as possible.  | fer budget for travel & subsistence from the details of this are currently being evaluated  |
|  |   |
| 2c. Have any of these issues been discussed changes been made to the original agreement  | ·   |
|  | ·   |
| changes been made to the original agreement  | ?   |
| changes been made to the original agreement Discussed with LTS:  | ?<br><del>Yes</del> /No   |
| changes been made to the original agreement Discussed with LTS: Formal change request submitted:   | ? Yes/No Yes/No   |
| changes been made to the original agreement Discussed with LTS: Formal change request submitted:   | ? Yes/No Yes/No Yes/No  |
| changes been made to the original agreement Discussed with LTS: Formal change request submitted: Received confirmation of change acceptance  3a. Do you currently expect to have any signif  | ? Yes/No Yes/No Yes/No  |
| Changes been made to the original agreement Discussed with LTS: Formal change request submitted: Received confirmation of change acceptance  3a. Do you currently expect to have any signifin your budget for this year?   | Yes/No Yes/No Yes/No icant (e.g. more than £5,000) underspend ject budget needs carefully. Please   |
| Changes been made to the original agreement  Discussed with LTS:  Formal change request submitted:  Received confirmation of change acceptance  3a. Do you currently expect to have any signif in your budget for this year?  Yes No Estimated underspend:  3b. If yes, then you need to consider your progremember that any funds agreed for this financial   | Yes/No Yes/No Yes/No  icant (e.g. more than £5,000) underspend  iect budget needs carefully. Please year are only available to the project in this ause of justifiable changes within the quest as soon as possible. There is no please ensure you have enough time to  |
| Changes been made to the original agreement  Discussed with LTS:  Formal change request submitted:  Received confirmation of change acceptance  3a. Do you currently expect to have any signifin your budget for this year?  Yes No Estimated underspend:  3b. If yes, then you need to consider your propremember that any funds agreed for this financial financial year.  If you anticipate a significant underspend becompoject, please submit a rebudget Change Recognized appropriate changes if necessary. Please | Yes/No Yes/No Yes/No  icant (e.g. more than £5,000) underspend  ject budget needs carefully. Please year are only available to the project in this ause of justifiable changes within the quest as soon as possible. There is no please ensure you have enough time to be DO NOT send these in the same email as e relating to the project or to Darwin's |

No other matters to raise.

If you were asked to provide a response to this year's annual report review with your next half year report, please attach your response to this document.

Please note: Any <u>planned</u> modifications to your project schedule/workplan can be discussed in this report but should also be raised with LTS International through a Change Request. Please DO NOT send these in the same email.

Please send your **completed report by email** to <u>Darwin-Projects@ltsi.co.uk</u>. The report should be between 2-3 pages maximum. <u>Please state your project reference number in the header of your email message e.g. Subject: 25-001 Darwin Half Year Report</u>